



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/723,846	11/26/2003	Dean Foote	LAMA122071	9688	
26389	7590 05/24/2005		EXAMINER		
CHRISTENSEN, O'CONNOR, JOHNSON, KINDNESS, PLLC			PATEL, VISHAL A		
SUITE 2800	AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SEATTLE, V	WA 98101-2347		3676		

DATE MAILED: 05/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action							
Before	the Filing of an Appeal Brief						

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/723,846	FOOTE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Vishal Patel	3676	

Defense the Fillian of an Annual Drief	·						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Vishal Patel	3676					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>13 May 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.					
. Mathematical The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
	The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
AMENDMENTS							
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> </ol>	•		ecause				
(a) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•	i E below),					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bei	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>The limitations "sheltered from internal pre-</u> would require further search and/or consideration.			v issues that				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	·	•	•				
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:	will not be entered, or b)	ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ied.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application ir	n condition for allowar	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	alisi	Adaie				
		ÄLISON I PRIMARY I	PICKARD EXAMINER				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

